

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/701,504	11/29/2000	Kazuo Ohba	KAM1-BN12	5063	
75	90 10/29/2002				
Price Gess & Ubell			EXAMINER		
2100 S E Main Irvine, CA 927	S E Main Street Suite 250 e, CA 92714 ABDELWAHED, AL		HED, ALI F		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3712		
		DATE MAILED: 10/29/2002			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•					S.M.
		Applicati	on No.	Applicant(s)	
		09/701,5	04	OHBA, KAZUO	
Office Action Summary		Examine	r	Art Unit	
		Ali Abdel	lwahed	3712	
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this commun or Reply	nication appears on th	e cover sheet wi	th the correspondence add	dress
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failu - Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUN nsions of time may be available under the provisions SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this come period for reply specified above is less than thirty (3 period for reply is specified above, the maximum so re to reply within the set or extended period for reply reply received by the Office later than three months and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	IICATION. s of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no exmunication. 30) days, a reply within the sta tatutory period will apply and v y will, by statute, cause the app	vent, however, may a re tutory minimum of thirty vill expire SIX (6) MON' plication to become AB.	eply be timely filed ((30) days will be considered timely THS from the mailing date of this co ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	: mmunication.
1)	Responsive to communication(s) fi	iled on			
2a) <u></u>	This action is FINAL.	2b) This action is	non-final.		
3) <u>□</u> Disposit	Since this application is in conditio closed in accordance with the praction of Claims				e merits is
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-23 is/are pending in the	application.			
	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>1-4,11-18</u>	and 21-23 is/are with	drawn from con	sideration.	
5)□	Claim(s) is/are allowed.				
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>5-10,19 and 20</u> is/are reject	cted.			
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.				
8)[Claim(s) are subject to restri	ction and/or election i	requirement.		
Applicat	on Papers				
9)⊠	The specification is objected to by th	e Examiner.			
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are:	: a)☐ accepted or b)☐] objected to by the	ne Examiner.	
	Applicant may not request that any ob				
11)	The proposed drawing correction file	ed on is: a)☐ a	approved b) d	isapproved by the Examine	er.
	If approved, corrected drawings are re		ffice action.		
12)	The oath or declaration is objected to	o by the Examiner.			
Priority (ınder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120				
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim	n for foreign priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. §	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a)	☑ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:				
	1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority	documents have been	en received.		
	2. Certified copies of the priority	documents have bee	en received in A	oplication No	
* (3. Copies of the certified copies application from the Interesee the attached detailed Office action	national Bureau (PCT	Rule 17.2(a)).		Stage
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim				application)
_a) The translation of the foreign la Acknowledgment is made of a claim	nguage provisional a	pplication has be	een received.	epphoduorij.
Attachmen	_	ioi domestic priority t	#1061 33 U.S.C.	33 120 dilu/01 121.	
1) Notice 2) Notice	e of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (I	•	5) Notice of I	Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(nformal Patent Application (PTC	
	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) F	-aper ivo(s)	6)	•	

Art Unit: 3712

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

The use of the trademark EVERFLEX has been noted in this application. It should be capitalized wherever it appears and be accompanied by the generic terminology.

Although the use of trademarks is permissible in patent applications, the proprietary nature of the marks should be respected and every effort made to prevent their use in any manner which might adversely affect their validity as trademarks.

The lengthy specification has not been checked to the extent necessary to determine the presence of all possible minor errors. Applicant's cooperation is requested in correcting any errors of which applicant may become aware in the specification.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 5-10, 19, and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Application/Control Number: 09/701,504

Art Unit: 3712

Claim 5 recites the limitation "the other end" in lines and 40. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim 6 recites the limitations "the arm", "the shoulders", and "the end" in lines 4,

Claim 7 recites the limitations "the arm", and "the shoulders" in lines 4, 7, and 8.

There is insufficient antecedent basis for these limitations in the claim.

Claim 8 recites the limitation "the molten molding material" in line 15. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim(s) 9 and 10 depend from rejected claim(s) 5 and 6, respectively, and include all of the limitations of claim(s) 5 and 6 thereby rendering these dependent claim(s) indefinite.

Claim 19 recites the limitations "the arm", and "said molding space" in lines 2, 4, 5, and 7. There is insufficient antecedent basis for these limitations in the claim.

Claim(s) 20 depends from rejected claim(s) 7 and includes all of the limitations of claim(s) 7 thereby rendering this dependent claim(s) indefinite.

Application/Control Number: 09/701,504

Art Unit: 3712

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 5-10, 19, and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Pat. No. 4,470,784 to Piotrovsky in view of JP 62071616 A to Takara Co. Ltd.

Piotrovsky discloses the claimed invention except for teaching the method of molding a doll's arm, and having a pair of molding spaces. However, Takara Co. Ltd. teaches the method of molding a doll's arm. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the device of Piotrovsky, in view of Takara Co. Ltd., such that it would provide the device of Piotrovsky with an armature for a doll arm, instead of a doll leg as disclosed by Piotrovsky, for the purpose of molding a doll's arm.

Furthermore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the device of Piotrovsky with a pair of molding spaces, since it has been held that mere duplication of the essential working parts of a device involves only routine skill in the art. *St. Regis Paper Co. v. Bemis Co.*, 193 USPQ 8.

Application/Control Number: 09/701,504

Art Unit: 3712

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ali Abdelwahed whose telephone number is (703) 305-3311. The examiner can normally be reached Monday through Friday from 9:00 A.M. to 5:00 P.M.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Derris Banks can be reached on (703) 308-1745.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1148.

AA 10/24/2002

> DERRIS H. BANKS SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700